THE COORDINATION COMPOUNDS Gd (III) AND Dy(III) WITH SOME β-DIKETONES
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New complexes of Dy (III) and Gd (III) with b-diketones containing unsaturated and aryl substituents were synthesized. Metal polymers based on synthesized complexes were obtained by the method of radical polymerization. The composition and structure of synthesized complexes and metal polymers are established. It is shown that during polymerization the coordination environment of the central ion remains unchanged. The spectral-luminescent characteristics of the synthesized compounds were studied. The presence of water molecules in the immediate coordination environment causes a low intensity of emission of monomeric dysprosium complexes. In the luminescence spectra of metal polymers, there are bands magnetic dipole transition (⁴F₉ → ⁶H₁₅/₂) and electric dipole transition (⁴F₉ → ⁶H₁₃/₂). The close energies of the triplet level of the ligand and the resonant level of the dysprosium ion cause low emission characteristics of the synthesized dysprosium complexes.
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INTRODUCTION. High luminescence [1–6], magnetic activity [7–11], and the possibility of using as bioactive materials [12–13] and telecommunication devices [14–16] are responsible for the continuing interest in coordination compounds of lanthanides. Highly volatile stable complexes of lanthanides with a mononuclear structure play a significant role as precursors for the gas-phase synthesis of thin-film materials [17–18].

One of the most important factors influencing the properties is the correct selection of ligand systems makes it possible to avoid nonradiative energy losses during the transition from the singlet to the triplet level. Only at minimum phosphorescence and fluorescence energies can maximum luminescence intensities be achieved [19–22]. Therefore, the difference in the triplet energy level of the ligand and the resonance energy level of the lanthanide ion
is of great importance. In general, the energy of the resonance level of the metal should be somewhat lower than the energy of the triplet level of the ligand, which neutralizes the non-radiative deactivation of the resonance level together with a high probability and efficiency of the emitting transition. The overwhelming majority of studies in this area are on lanthanide complexes emitting in the visible region of the spectrum, in particular, Eu(III), Tb(III), Sm(III), Nd(III), Yb(III) [12, 20, 22–26]. Although dysprosium compounds (III) are also capable of emitting in the visible region of the spectrum, such studies are limited due to the complexity of the ligand selection. Such is due to the rather high energy of the resonance level of the dysprosium ion compared to Eu (III) and Sm (III). Some works considered the possibility of using dysprosium complexes in the creation of white light emitters for optoelectronics. It could be realized by doping or titrating dysprosium complexes with complexes of other metals, or by mixed-ligand complexation. Thus, in the work use, bimetallic Dy: Eu complexes and trimetallic Dy: Gd: Eu complexes emit white light. Doping of gadolinium complexes with dysprosium complexes or Dy \ Eu Dy \ Sm HMC allowed the authors to obtain white light [15, 27–28]. In particular, the work showed the possibility of transition from yellow through white to blue light [29, 30].

In addition, complexes of these metals can be used as contrast agents for MRI diagnostics. The Gd$^{3+}$ and Dy$^{3+}$ ions, having an optimal contrast enhancement in comparison with other paramagnetic ions, are highly toxic in the free state (10 mg / kg of body weight) [31]. Today, the chemical compounds DTPA (diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid), DTPA-BMA (gadodiamide), DOTA (tetraazocyclododecetetraacetic acid), HP-D03A (2-hydroxypropyltetraazododecanetriacetic acid) and, other derivatives are used as ligand systems. Their complexes with metals have paramagnetic properties, are low-molecular water-soluble, hydrophilic contrast agents that are excreted from the body by the kidneys [31–33]. The main problem of creating paramagnetic contrast agents is to find the optimal balance of high paramagnetic properties and toxicity. The ideal contrast paramagnetic should have the maximum relaxing ability in the practical absence of toxicity [34, 35]. For the rejection of macromolecular contrast agents, it is possible to create a significant improvement in the relaxation efficiency of low-molecular-weight compounds [36, 37]. In addition, polymeric systems based on non-scaling β-diketonates of lanthanides (Gd (III) and Dy (III)) can be used as a precursor for rejecting contrast talkers to compete with other active materials. This link in the molecule ligand.

It is unlikely that in the low-molecular state, β-diketones could be used as precursors for obtaining contrast agents because they are bidentate ligands. However, polymer systems based on them can compete with existing materials. The presence of a final double bond in the ligand molecule makes it an active monomer in polymerization reactions. Thus, metal complexes based on unsaturated β-diketones are active monomers in free radical polymerization reactions [38, 39].

According to the literature data, it could be pointed the areas of high scientific and technological interests of this investigations: 1 – the using both mono- and heterometallic complexes Gd (III) and Dy (III); 2 – doping of gadolinium complexes by complexes of other metals emitted in the visible and nearest-IR spectral regions.
In this regard, in this work, we performed the synthesis, studies of the structure and properties of diketonate complexes of Gd (III) and Dy (III) with unsaturated β-diketones and metal polymers based on them.

**EXPERIMENT AND DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS.** As starting compounds were selected β-diketonate ligands (2-methyl-5-phenylpentan 1-3,5, -dion (mphrd) (2-methyl-5-biphenyl penten 1-3,5, -dione (mbphpd) are shown in scheme 1.

![Structure of the ligands.](image)

Scheme 1. Structure of the ligands.

To a three-necked reactor cooled with a mixture of ice and sodium chloride, equipped with a magnetic stirrer, a reflux condenser and a dropping funnel containing 0,5 moles of sodium metal (11,5 g) and, 300 cm$^3$ of dry diethyl ether, was added dropwise a solution of 0,5 mol (99 cm$^3$) of biphenylketone and 0,5 mol (63 cm$^3$) of methacrylic acid ethyl ester. As a result of the reaction, a yellow precipitate fell out:

The synthesis of ligands was performed by Kleisen condensation according to the scheme presented in Fig. The method of ligand synthesis is described earlier [38, 40].

For the synthesis of β-diketonate complexes Gd (III) and Dy (III) was used dysprosium acetate (III) Dy(CH$_3$COO)$_3$∙4H$_2$O (c.p), gadolinium nitrate (III) Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$∙5H$_2$O (c.p), sodium hydroxide NaOH (c.p).

![Synthesis scheme of ligands.](image)

Scheme 2. Synthesis scheme of ligands.

The synthesis of complexes was performed by the interaction of aqueous solutions of metal salts with an aqueous solution of a sodium salt of the corresponding ligand at a molar ratio of reagents 1: 3 (pH 8–9) at room temperature.

Dy(CH$_3$COO)$_3$ + 3NaL → DeL$_3$ + 3CH$_3$COONa

Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$ + 3NaL → GdL$_3$ + 3NaNO$_3$

L= mphrd, mbphpd.
The resulting precipitates of the complexes were separated from the mother liquor by centrifugation, washed with water, and dried in a vacuum desiccator over anhydrous CaCl₂. All synthesized complexes were yellowish.

A feature of the synthesis and purification of β-diketonates of lanthanides Ln(β-dik)₃ is the need to carry out all operations at room temperature, since with an increase in temperature, partial oligomerization of the complexes occurs (due to the presence of a reactive C=C double bond in ligand molecules), significantly worsens their solubility. Therefore, recrystallization and additional purification of the complexes by vacuum sublimation were not carried out, although the volatility of the complexes is high enough, which was confirmed by the results of thermal analysis.

Metal polymers of gadolinium and dysprosium were obtained by the method of thermal initiated radical polymerization. Polymerization was carried out at 80° C in a DMF solution at a monomer (tris-diketone) concentration of 0.3 mol/dm³ and an AIBN initiator concentration of 0.003 mol/dm³. The obtained metal polymers were precipitated from solutions with isopropanol [26, 38].

The synthesized compounds were investigated by elemental analysis, FTIR, electron and fluorescence spectroscopy, thermal analysis, dynamic light scattering, and electron microscopy (SEM).

Quantum-chemical calculations of ligand molecules and metal complexes were carried out. The geometry of β-diketone molecules and their complexes with dysprosium and gadolinium was calculated using the MOPAC2016 program. For the calculation, the PM7 method was chosen, which better shows the long-range interaction of atoms in a molecule, makes it possible to more accurately predict the crystal structure and heats of the formation of solids. In addition, the PM7 method calculates hydrogen bonds in more detail, which makes it possible to better describe non-covalent interactions. The use of PM7 in combination with the SPARKLE model, in which the lanthanide atom is represented as a point charge [41–42], makes it possible to obtain reliable data on the geometry of lanthanide complexes with both aliphatic and aromatic ligands.

The estimation of the enthalpy of formation of complexes was carried out on the basis of the calculated values for ligands and the corresponding values for metal complexes. The energy gap between the upper filled molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lower vacant molecular orbital (LUMO) was calculated. For each ligand and complex, the total energy, dipole moment, and ionization potential were given. The populations on the atomic orbitals and the charges on the atoms of the ligand molecules and the corresponding complexes with gadolinium and dysprosium were calculated. This allows us to conclude about the localization of the electron density and bond saturation.

Quantum-chemical calculations of ligand molecules and metal complexes based on them were carried out. The geometric structure of the ligand molecule was first optimized by the molecular mechanics method MM+ using the HyperChem program, then the resulting geometric structure was the initial one for optimization of the molecule geometry based on the SPARKLE PM7 model in the MOPAC2016 program. As a result of calculations, the following geometrically optimized structures were obtained (Fig.1).
Fig. 1. Geometrically optimized structural formulas of β-diketones (a – mphpd, b – mbphpd).

There were calculated the base energy characteristics of the ligand molecules using the SPARKLE PM7 model: the enthalpy of formation, the total energy of the molecule and bond lengths, the parameters of the electron populations of the ligands (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated parameter</th>
<th>mphpd</th>
<th>mbphpd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total energy (eB)</td>
<td>-2225.898</td>
<td>-3001.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of formation (kJ / mol)</td>
<td>-187.341</td>
<td>-119.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The dipole moment</td>
<td>2.179</td>
<td>2.526</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analyzing the value of the heats of formation of ligands, we see that the process of formation of molecules is exothermic, we observed this in the process of synthesis. As mentioned above, while mixing the starting reagents, the reaction mixture was heated. With an increase in the volume of the ligand, upon going from phenolic to biphenyl substituent, the heat of formation increases from $-187.341$ kJ/mol (mphpd) to $-119.148$ kJ/mol (mbphpd). The dipole moment for mbphpd (2.526) is slightly higher than for mphpd (2.179), which may be due to the different distribution of electron charges in the biphenyl molecule. The bond lengths in both molecules are comparable, as expected, due to the same nature of the substituents. The negative charge in both ligands is concentrated on oxygen atoms. Having calculated the energies of the highest filled molecular orbital ($E_{\text{HOMO}}$) and the lowest vacant molecular orbital ($E_{\text{LUMO}}$), we can conclude that these complexes will exhibit weak electron-withdrawing properties, for mphpd and mbphpd $E_{\text{LUMO}} = -0.697$ eV and $-1.061$ eV, respectively.

The performed quantum-chemical calculations made it possible to geometrically optimize the molecules of β-diketonate complexes of gadolinium and dysprosium (Fig.2)

As can be seen from Fig. and fig. all presented complex compounds have a non-planar structure, and the ligands are located in different planes. All ligands are bidentate and are coordinated to the central ion (c.i) by two oxygen atoms, which is consistent with previous studies and literature data. Comparing the average bond lengths of lanthanides - oxygen, we see that in dysprosium complexes it is less - 2.2241 Å versus 2.2381 Å for gadolinium complexes (Table 1). Such a change in the bond length can be explained both by the effect of lanthanide compression and by different populations of orbitals.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gd(III)</th>
<th>Dy(III)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{HOMO}}$ (eV)</td>
<td>-10.227</td>
<td>-9.561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{LUMO}}$ (eV)</td>
<td>-0.697</td>
<td>-1.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionization potential (eV)</td>
<td>10.227</td>
<td>9.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{RCO}}$ - R</td>
<td>1.48175</td>
<td>1.4824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{RCO}}$ - O</td>
<td>1.20643</td>
<td>1.20565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{RCO}}$ - $C_{\text{CH2}}$</td>
<td>1.50965</td>
<td>1.50799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{CH2}}$ - $C_{\text{CO}}$</td>
<td>1.51066</td>
<td>1.5115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{CO}}$ - O</td>
<td>1.20514</td>
<td>1.2051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic unit of charge:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{C_{\text{RCO}}}/Q_{\text{CR}}$</td>
<td>0.4832/-0.1651</td>
<td>0.4953/-0.1693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{C_{\text{RCO}}}/Q_{\text{CO}}$</td>
<td>0.4832/-0.4296</td>
<td>0.4953/-0.4460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{C_{\text{CH2}}}/Q_{C_{\text{CO}}}$</td>
<td>-0.4988/0.4734</td>
<td>-0.501/0.4814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{C_{\text{CO}}}/Q_{\text{O}}$</td>
<td>0.4734/-0.4309</td>
<td>0.4814/-0.4311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{C_{\text{CO}}}-Q_{C_{\text{CH2}}}$</td>
<td>0.4734/-0.0605</td>
<td>0.4814/-0.0406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Geometrically structure of β-diketonate complexes of Gd(mphpd)$_3$ $\cdot$ 2H$_2$O (a) and Gd(mbphpd)$_3$ $\cdot$ 2H$_2$O (b).
Fig. 3. Geometrically structure of β-diketonate complexes of Dy(mphpd)$_3$·2H$_2$O (a) and Dy(mbphpd)$_3$·2H$_2$O (b).
Analyzing the value of the heats of formation of complexes, it can be noted that negative values are observed for complexes based on mphpd, and positive for complexes of Gd and Dy with mbphpd - $+106.474$ and $+185.622$ kJ/mol, respectively. For the complexes Gd(mbphpd)$_3$$ \cdot 2$H$_2$O and Dy(mbphpd)$_3$$ \cdot 2$H$_2$O, we have a positive value of the heats of formation, indicating that the formation of complexes occurs with heat absorption, that is, the process is endothermic.

As can be seen from the energies of the highest filled molecular orbital (EHOMO) and the lowest vacant molecular orbital (ELUMO), the complexes exhibit electron-withdrawing properties. EHOMO behaves like an electron donor, while ELUMO behaves like an acceptor. The difference between the energies of EHOMO and ELUMO represents the HOMO-LUMO energy gap. If a molecule has a small energy gap, it shows high reactivity.

The ionization potential and the parameters of the electron affinity were determined using the energies of $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ and ELUMO, namely, $I = -E_{\text{HOMO}}$ and $A = -E_{\text{LUMO}}$, where I is the ionization energy and A is the electron affinity. In ionization by potential, a physical quantity is understood, which is determined by the ratio of the smallest energy required for the single ionization of an atom (or molecule) in the ground state to the charge of an electron. Ionization potential is a measure of ionization energy equal to the work of detachment of an electron from an atom or molecule and characterizes the bond strength of an electron in an atom or molecule.

As can be seen from the table, all calculated parameters correlate quite well in the case of pairs of complexes with the same ligands, namely: Gd(mphpd)$_3$ and Dy(mphpd)$_3$, Gd(mbphpd)$_3$ and Dy(mbphpd)$_3$. Somewhat lower values of the series parameters for dysprosium complexes, including the length of the lanthanide-oxygen bond, which is determined both by the different electronic structure of c.i. and by a decrease in the ionic radius of the latter. All calculated parameters of the complexes are shown in table 2.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated parameter</th>
<th>Gd(mphpd)$_3$</th>
<th>Gd(mbphpd)$_3$</th>
<th>Dy(mphpd)$_3$</th>
<th>Dy(mbphpd)$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total energy (eV)</td>
<td>-6675,884</td>
<td>-8230,603</td>
<td>-6675,479</td>
<td>-8872,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of formation (kJ / mol)</td>
<td>-105,962</td>
<td>106,474</td>
<td>-26,744</td>
<td>185,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The dipole moment</td>
<td>0,696</td>
<td>0,576</td>
<td>0,723</td>
<td>0,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{homo}}$ (eV)</td>
<td>-9,249</td>
<td>-8,932</td>
<td>-9,244</td>
<td>-8,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{lumo}}$ (eV)</td>
<td>-0,548</td>
<td>-0,868</td>
<td>-0,558</td>
<td>-0,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy gap</td>
<td>8,701</td>
<td>8,064</td>
<td>8,686</td>
<td>8,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionization potential (eV)</td>
<td>9,249</td>
<td>8,931</td>
<td>9,244</td>
<td>8,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron affinity (eV)</td>
<td>0,548</td>
<td>0,868</td>
<td>0,558</td>
<td>0,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (eV)</td>
<td>4,3505</td>
<td>4,032</td>
<td>4,343</td>
<td>4,0285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softness (eV)</td>
<td>0,23</td>
<td>0,248</td>
<td>0,2302</td>
<td>0,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond length $l_{\text{av}}$ O-Ln (Å)</td>
<td>2,2355</td>
<td>2,2454</td>
<td>2,2266</td>
<td>2,2242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The elimination of adsorbed water occurs at 70°C, further dehydration of dysprosium and gadolinium complexes with methacryloylacetophenone Ln(mphpd)$_3$ occurs at temperatures of 1650°C and 1900°C, respectively, and is accompanied by significant endoeffects. At the same time, there is a loss of sample mass by 4.4% (mop = 4.7%) for Dy(mphpd)$_3$ and 4.6% for Gd(mphpd)$_3$ (mop = 4.8%), which corresponds to the elimination of two coordinated water molecules. Endoeffects at temperatures of 198°C (Dy(mphpd)$_3$) and 189°C (Gd(mphpd)$_3$) are due to the melting of the complexes (the weight loss in this case is 2% and 1.8%, respectively). A further increase in temperature is accompanied by small exoeffects (235°C for Dy and 242°C for Gd) and an insignificant weight loss, which corresponds to the polymerization of the complexes. With a further increase in temperature (> 240°C) in both compounds, the destruction of the complex occurs by the elimination of one molecule of methacryloylace- tophenone, which is accompanied by exothermic effects at 275 and 278°C, respectively [43].

In the temperature range 285–500°C, the thermal destruction of both complexes proceeds with the generation of heat; the thermogram contains exoeffects at 293, 332, 380, 442°C for Dy(mphpd)$_3$; 305, 345, 380°C for Gd(mphpd)$_3$, and a significant loss in the mass of the samples, which is due to the decomposition of organic fragments of the molecule. The total weight loss in the investigated temperature range for both complexes is ~ 80%. The general view of the thermograms of complexes based on mbphpd is practically the same. The only difference are in the lower dehydration temperature of complexes 150°C (Dy(mbphpd)$_3$), and 165°C - Gd(mbphpd)$_3$. The results of elemental analysis and DTA made it possible to establish that the composition of the synthesized complexes corresponds to the formula LnL$_3$·2H$_2$O Ln = Gd, Dy, L = mphpd, mbphpd.

Thermograms of metalopolymers differ significantly. A significant endoeffect at 175–185°C is due to the elimination of water molecules, which are located in the cavities of the metalopolymer. Upon further heating, we observe an exoeffect at 350°C and a significant weight loss (Δm≈30%), which may be due to the destruction of the metalopolymer structure. Endoeffect at 440°C may be due to steric rearrangements in the polymer structure. Further heating of the sample is accompanied by small exoeffects at 480 and 490°C with practically no change in its weight, which indicates the stability of the polymer at high temperatures and the absence of destruction of the polymer structure.

The investigating of the results of thermal analysis, we can say that the dehydration of all synthesized monomeric complexes with aryl substituents occurs in the range 120–130°C, which indicates the outer-sphere coordination of water molecules. Minor endoeffects in the region of 195–207°C are due to the melting of the complexes (the weight loss is insignificant, up to 2.5%). An increase in temperature is accompanied by a number of exoeffects in the range 240–290°C, which corresponds to the elimination of one ligand molecule, the weight loss, in this case, is in the range of 24–28%, which is in good agreement with the theoretically calculated). Further exoeffects after 300°C correspond to the destruction of the organic part of the molecule, and a significant loss of mass. In general, the weight loss in the investigated temperature range is 75–85%. The decomposition mechanism for similar coordination compounds was described in detail.
by the authors earlier [43-45]. Weight loss in this temperature range is accompanied by an insignificant endoeffect and corresponds to two coordinated water molecules, together with elemental analysis allows us to state that the composition of monomeric complexes corresponds to the formula LnL₃ · 2H₂O, where Ln = Gd(III), Dy(III) L = mphpd, mbphhd.

IR spectra were recorded on a Specord M80 spectrometer in the range of 400-4000 cm⁻¹ in KBr pellet. There are bands of symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations of the bond ν (C-O) and ν (C-C) in the IR spectra of the synthesized metal β-diketonates in the range of 1500–1700 cm⁻¹. Since there is delocalization of the electron density in the diketonate fragment, the stretching vibration bands also occupy an intermediate place between the stretching vibrations of single CO and CC and double C=O and C=C bonds [45–47]. It is known that a band with a higher frequency (~ 1575–1590 cm⁻¹) corresponds to a symmetric stretching vibration of the bond (CO), and with a lower frequency (~ 1520–1555 cm⁻¹) – to an asymmetric stretching vibration of a bond (CC). However, quite often these bands are observed as one wide line split into several components.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>ν(M-O) + δ₈,₉₆₅ (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>νₐs(CO) (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>νₐs(CC) (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>νₛ(CO) (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>νₛ(CC)+νₛ(CPh) (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>ν(H₂O) (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dy(mphpd)₃</td>
<td>415,422, 438, 475, 520</td>
<td>1427</td>
<td>1555</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>1640, 1660</td>
<td>3230, 3414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Dy(mphpd)₃]ₙ</td>
<td>410, 425, 485, 515</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>3380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd(mphpd)₃</td>
<td>415,420,433, 473, 518</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>1540, 1557</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>1645, 1652</td>
<td>3350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Gd(mphpd)₃]ₙ</td>
<td>412, 425, 490, 515</td>
<td>1445</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>1658</td>
<td>3380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy(mbphhd)₃</td>
<td>456, 470, 489, 520</td>
<td>1403, 1415</td>
<td>1542, 1560</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>3425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Dy(mbphhd)₃]ₙ</td>
<td>450, 468, 470, 480, 515</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>3420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd(mbphhd)₃</td>
<td>455, 462, 478, 490, 514</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>1540, 1560</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>3430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Gd(mbphhd)₃]ₙ</td>
<td>455, 470, 485, 500, 520</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>3430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some inequality of ties, despite the delocalized system, is still present. This is especially noticeable in the region of 400–600 cm⁻¹, it is here that there are stretching vibrations of the M-O bond and deformation vibrations of a careless ring. Therefore, in this region of the spectrum, there is a significant number, mainly of low- intensity bands. This is due to the different
nature of the bonds, the electronic structure of the complexing ion, and the influence of the substituent in the diketonate fragment. For the same reason, in the 1400-1600 cm\(^{-1}\) region, we observe an insignificant splitting of the spectral lines assigned to \(\nu_{as}(CC)\) and \(\nu_{s}(CO)\). However, this inequality of bonds is not significant; therefore, it is inappropriate to speak of a significant deformation of the coordination polyhedron.

For all synthesized complexes in the region of 3200–3400 cm\(^{-1}\), a broadband is observed, which corresponds to valence vibrations of coordinated water molecules. Unfortunately, based on the results of IR spectra, it is impossible to separate coordinated and adsorbed water molecules, however, based on the width of this band, we can confidently conclude that the synthesized compounds are not hydroxocomplexes, this fact is also confirmed by their rather high solubility in organic solvents, in particular, DMSO, DMF, chloroform.

In general, the IR spectra of metal polymers table 3 are similar to the corresponding monomeric complexes, but there is an insignificant long-wavelength shift of the bands corresponding to characteristic vibrations, which suggests a weakening of the metal-ligand bond for polymers as compared to the monomeric complex. For polymers, the MO stretching vibration bands have already been split into several components, confirming a more ordered structure of metal polymers as compared to the monomeric complex.

Thus, the shape and position of the bands in the IR spectra of monomeric and metal-polymer complexes of gadolinium and dysprosium are characteristic of bidentate-coordinated \(\beta\)-diketonate ligands with delocalized \(\pi\)-bonds in the chelate rings (Table 3). The displacement of the position of the bands of metal polymers compared to monomers to the low-frequency region indicates a weakening of the metal-ligand bond, which is due to a higher part of covalence. Taking into account the data of IR spectroscopy and DTA, the schematic structure of complexes of lanthanides with \(\beta\)-diketones (for example, methacroylacetophenone) can be depicted as follows:

\[
\text{Ln} = \text{Gd}^{3+}, \text{Dy}^{3+}
\]

In the diffuse reflectance and electronic absorption spectra of the synthesized gadolinium and dysprosium compounds, there are broadened bands in the range of 230–400 cm\(^{-1}\), which can be attributed to the \(\pi\rightarrow\pi^*\) electronic transitions from the ground (S\(_0\)) to the excited state (S\(_1\)) of ligand molecules. The shift (70–100 nm) and broadening of this band, in comparison with its position for free ligands, may indicate both the realization of the complexation process and the influence of the electronic structure of the metal and substituents in the dicarbonyl fragment, as well as the superposition of the \(\pi\rightarrow\pi^*\) bands the electronic transition and the charge transfer band from the ligand to the metal, the different nature of these transitions (Fig. 4). The lower intensity of the band of metallopolymers compared to monomers is due to the shielding of neighboring molecules by polymer fragments due to their larger size compared to monomeric systems. Since the main transitions of the gadolinium ion are observed in the UV region, it is impos-
sible to identify them for these compounds, since they overlap with the intense charge transfer band from the metal to the ligand. The shape of the band in both monomeric gadolinium complexes is the same, but in the case of the biphenyl substituent, we observe a shift of the band by 20 nm, which is due to different energies of the ligands and, accordingly, different positions of the charge transfer band. The broadening of the band for metal polymers is due to exchange interactions in the polymer; however, it should be noted that the position of the maxima in the monomer and polymer are unchanged, and an insignificant shift by 2–4 nm is due to additional exchange interactions in the polymer structure.

The similar shape and position of this band both in the diffuse reflectance spectra of the compounds and in the EAS indicates the similarity of the structure of the complexes in solution and in the polycrystalline state. In the diffuse reflection spectra of dysprosium coordination compounds, a set of bands with $^6H_{13/2}$ of the main multiplet is observed Table 4.

![Fig. 4. Reflectance spectra of gadolinium complexes.](image)

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Dy(mphpd)$_3$</th>
<th>[Dy(mphpd)$_3$]$_n$</th>
<th>Dy(mbphpd)$_3$</th>
<th>[Dy(mbphpd)$_3$]$_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^6H_{13/2} \rightarrow 6F_{3/2}$</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>757,763</td>
<td>758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^6H_{13/2} \rightarrow 6F_{5/2}$</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^6H_{13/2} \rightarrow 6F_{7/2}$</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^6H_{13/2} \rightarrow 6F_{9/2}, 6H_{7/2}$</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^6H_{13/2} \rightarrow 6F_{11/2}, 6H_{9/2}$</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^6H_{13/2} \rightarrow 6F_{13/2}, H_{11/2}$</td>
<td>1697</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1699</td>
<td>1702</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The shape and position of the bands in the diffuse reflectance spectra of monomeric and polymer complexes are similar (Fig. 5) indicate a similar structure of the coordination polyhedron in monomeric and metal-polymer complexes. An insignificant shift of the bands to longer wavelengths, and in some places their broadening indicates a weakening of the bond of the central ion with the ligand in polymers, and on the presence of exchange interactions in the macromolecules of the metal chelating agents. Schematically, the structure of the elementary unit of metal polymers could be depicted as follows:

\[ \text{Ln} = \text{Gd, Dy}; \ R = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5, \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_9 \]

Unfortunately, the method of electron spectroscopy does not allow one to establish the symmetry or structure of the coordination polyhedron for the studied coordination compounds. However, based on previous studies of similar complexes of europium, neodymium, samarium, and the ability to the splitting of spectral lines, such complexes have non-cubic symmetry of the ligand field.

Therefore, in order to determine the energy of the singlet and triplet levels of the ligands, the fluorescence and phosphorescence spectra of gadolinium-containing complexes were studied (Fig. 6). The excitation and luminescence spectra of solid complexes were recorded on a spectrofluorimeter Fluorolog FL 3-22, Horiba Jobin Yvon (Xe-lamp 450 W) with a light filter OS 11, with their subsequent
adjustment taking into account the radiation distribution of the xenon lamp and the sensitivity of the photomultiplier tube. The InGaAs (DSS-IGA020L, Electro-Optical Systems, Inc, USA) photoresistance was used as a radiation receiver for the IR region when cooled to liquid nitrogen temperature.

In general, ligand energies can be determined by recording the phosphorescence and fluorescence spectra of gadolinium, lanthanum, and lutetium complexes, which is due to the empty (lanthanum), half-filled (gadolinium), and completely filled (lutetium) f shell of these ions. For methacryloylacetophenone, we recorded the fluorescence spectra of both the ligand itself and all the above complexes based on it. The results obtained are in good agreement with each other, and the obtained energy value is the same in all cases.

Subsequently, to establish the energy of the singlet and triplet levels of the ligand, we chose the Gd (III) complexes, which is due to several reasons, namely: the high position of the resonance level of Gd$^{3+}$ (32000 cm$^{-1}$) in comparison with the triplet level of the ligands, prevents energy transfer from the organic part of the complex to the lanthanide ion and the presence of a heavy paramagnetic ion increase the efficiency of intersystem conversion due to mixing of singlet and triplet levels («paramagnetic effect») and, as a consequence, the ratio of the phosphorescence quantum yield to the fluorescence quantum yield (> 100).

Fig. 6. Fluorescence (a) and phosphorescence spectra of the Gd(mphpd)$_3$ complex (CHCl$_3$ solution, $\lambda = 380$ nm).

The fluorescence spectrum of Gd(mphpd)$_3$ contains one structureless diffuse band with maxima at 450, 467, and 482 nm. The phosphorescence spectrum of the Gd(mphpd)$_3$ complex is a broad band with two maxima at 505 and 515 nm. It was found that for gadolinium methacryloylacetophenone, the energies of the singlet $S_1$ and triplet $T_1$ levels are 22150 cm$^{-1}$ and 19520 cm$^{-1}$, respectively (table 5). Similar studies were carried out for all gadolinium complexes. It should be noted that the similarity between the excitation and fluorescence spectra for complexes with mphpd and mbphpd, which is associated with the same nature of the substituents in the diketonate fragment. The fluorescence spectrum of the polymer sample
[Gd(mphpd)]$_n$ (Fig.7). Contains one diffuse band with maxima at 431, 447, 461 nm. The position of the maxima in the [Gd (mphpd)]$_3$ phosphorescence spectrum (Fig.7) At 419 nm (shoulder), 449 nm, and 484 nm indicates that the energies of the singlet levels of the monomeric and metal-polymer complexes are close. Comparing the energy of the levels for the monomeric and polymer complexes of gadolinium (Table 5), it can be seen that polymerization causes an increase in the energy of exciting levels by ~ 1300 cm$^{-1}$, which is associated with the delocalization of the electron density in the ligand molecule.

For gadolinium complexes with mbphpd, we also recorded the fluorescence and phosphorescence spectra, as well as excitation and emission spectra (Fig. 6). The calculated energies of the singlet and triplet levels of all ligands are given in the table 5.

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>$E_{S1}$, cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$E_{T1}$, cm$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gd(mphpd)$_3$</td>
<td>22150</td>
<td>19520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Gd(mphpd)$_3$]$_n$</td>
<td>23400</td>
<td>20800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd(mbphpd)$_3$</td>
<td>22360</td>
<td>19960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Gd(mbphpd)$_3$]$_n$</td>
<td>23580</td>
<td>21300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Excitation ($\lambda_{em.}=453$ nm (1) and emission at 293K (2) [Gd(mphpd)]$_3$ (a) (CHCl3, $\lambda_{em.}=380$ nm) (a) and 77K (3) of Gd(mbphpd)$_3$ (b) (CHCl3, $\lambda_{em.}=380$ nm).

Considering the close energies of the triplet levels of the ligand systems and the resonance level of Dy$^{3+}$ (21000 cm$^{-1}$), low emission characteristics should be expected. The recorded emission spectra confirm this assumption. As can be seen from Fig. 8b, the intrinsic luminescence intensity of the ligand is rather high and is observed in the visible region of the spectrum next to the characteristic emission band of dysprosium.

Taking into account the excitation spectrum (Fig. 8a), it is advisable to record the emission spectra with exciting different wavelengths. As can be seen from Fig. 8b, upon ex-
citation at a wavelength of 375 nm, a broad band is present in the emission spectrum, which corresponds to the intrinsic emission of the ligand. At ω = 329 nm, we observe a decrease in the emission of the ligand, but the appearance of emission bands of the dysprosium ion. For the Dy\(^{3+}\) ion, the characteristic emission c transitions \(4F_{5/2} \rightarrow 6H_{J/2}\); \(J = 9, 11, 13, 15\). So with a maximum (\(\lambda_{em} = 479–482\) nm corresponds to \(4F_9 \rightarrow 6H_{15/2}\) magnetic dipole transition and the band \(\lambda_{em} = 572–574\) nm (yellow-light) is characteristic of \(4F_9 \rightarrow 6H_{13/2}\) electric dipole transition. Thus, the excitation wavelength has a significant effect on the emission characteristics of metal complexes. In this regard, it is necessary to choose the correct excitation wavelength, which will reduce the luminescence intensity of the ligand and increase the metal complex. Unfortunately, for monomeric complexes with both ligands, the emission spectra could not be recorded for high ligand fluorescence.

Fig. 8a. Excitation spectra of polymers (ligand and complexes).

Fig. 8b. Emission spectra of polymer ligand and complexes at other excitation.

Comparing the emission spectra of metalpolymers with various ligands in Fig. 8c, it can be seen that the introduction of large aryl substituents probably has a negative effect on the emission characteristics of the compounds. Through the shielding of the emitting centers, and a low energy gap between the levels.

It is known that by changing the particle size or surface morphology, one can completely change their properties, which makes it possible to obtain systems of a given composition with predictable properties. A change in the
dispersion can also have affect on the luminescent characteristics of the complexes. The method of dynamic light scattering makes it possible to establish the dispersion and stability of dispersed systems in solution. The method of dynamic light scattering was used to determine the particle size of complexes dissolved in dimethylformamide at 25 °C with Zeta Sizer Malvern. The studies carried out have shown that the obtained systems are rough polydisperse systems, the reproducibility of the results is low. Micrographs were recorded to establish the surface morphology. Microphotographs were recorded on a scanning electron microscope (SEM) Tescan Mira 3. For polymer complexes, as in most cases, the characteristic larger particle size is due to agglomeration. When passing from a monomeric to a polymer sample, the surface morphology remains unchanged, the structure is layered. The surface structure of monomeric complexes is not uniform, which indicates the presence of agglomerated particles, and, as a consequence, an increase in the size of individual groups. In general, photomicrographs of test samples are similar to those previously described.

Fig. 9. SEM microphoto of the powders Gd(mbphpd)$_3$ (a), [Gd(mbphpd)$_3$]$_n$ (b) scale label 100nm, 500nm, 2 micron, 1 micron.
Conclusion
It was established the hydration composition, the structure and symmetry of the nearest coordination environment of the central atom in coordination compounds GD (III) and Dy(III) with β-diketones. The spectral-luminescent characteristics of obtained mono- and poly dysprosium complexes exhibit a low luminescence intensity in the visible region of the spectrum, connected with close energies the triplet level of the ligand, and the singlet level of the emitting ion. It is shown that at different excitation wavelengths presence different emission characteristics. The resulting systems are coarsely dispersed amorphous powders with a layered structure.
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Синтезовано нові комплекси Dy(III) та Gd(III) з β-дикетонами, що містять ненасичені та арільні замісники. Виконано квантово-хімічні розрахунки молекул лігандів і металокомплексів. Розраховано основні енергетичні характеристики молекул лігандів: енталпія утворення, повна енергія молекули та довжини зв’язків, параметри електронної заселеності лігандів. Розраховані енергії вищої заповненої молекулярної орбітаles ентропії (E_{HOMO}) і нижчої вакантної молекулярної орбітаles (E_{LUMO}) свідчать про слабкі електроноакцепторні властивості комплексів.

Методом радикальної полімеризації отримано металополімери на основі синтезованих комплексів. Встановлено склад та будову синтезованих комплексів та металополімерів. Показано, що при полімеризації
координаційне оточення центрального іона залишається незмінним. Ліганди координаційно оточують центральних іонів бідентатно, утворюючи трис-комплекси. К.ч. іонів гадолінію (ІІІ) та диспрозію (ІІІ) до- рівнює 8, координаційна сфера доповнюється двома молекулами води. Досліджено спектрально-люмінесцентні характеристики синтезованих сполук. Визначено енергії тріплетного рівня мономерних та полімерних лігандів. Наявність молекул води в найближчому координаційному оточенні зумовлює низьку інтенсивність емісії мономерних комплексів диспрозію. В спектрах люмінесценції металополімерів присутні смуги магнітного дипольного перетворення (4F₉ → 6H₁₃/₂) та електричного дипольного перетворення (4F₉ → 6H₁₃/₂). Близькі енергії тріплетного рівня ліганду та резонансного рівня іона диспрозію зумовлюють невисокі емісійні характеристики синтезованих комплексів диспрозію. Дослідення морфології та дисперсності наносистем, отриманих на основі синтезованих сполук, свідчить про великий розмір часток та полідисперсність, що зумовлено наявністю громіздких замісників та агломерації. Структура поверхні частинок є шаруватою.

Ключові слова: лантаніди, комплекси, люмінесцентні властивості, металополімери, гадоліній, диспрозій, β-дикетони.
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